

EDUCATION: EXPANDING OUTER KNOWLEDGE, AWAKENING INNER CLARITY

Beyond classrooms and credentials, the deeper education begins with self-awareness.



ACHARYA PRASHANT

Walk into a classroom almost anywhere in the world and the setting feels familiar before you have even taken a seat. The desks face forward, the syllabus has already been decided. There is a timetable, and there are examinations waiting somewhere at the end. Over the years, students learn to decode language, manipulate symbols, analyse systems, operate machines and interpret data. Eventually they can speak about galaxies and genes, markets and microchips, constitutions and climate change. The scope of what they know expands steadily.

And yet there is a question that rarely appears on the board: who is the one gathering all this knowledge? What has happened inwardly to that person while all this accumulation was taking place?

Most education concerns itself with objects. Chemistry studies substances, physics studies matter and energy, economics studies exchange, political science studies institutions. Even psychology, quite often, studies behaviour as something observable from the outside. The curriculum grows more detailed with each passing year. Our grasp of the world becomes more precise. But you, the

self, are not simply one more object in that world. You are the one to whom the world appears. And that fact, strangely enough, is seldom examined.

This is not merely philosophical wordplay; it changes the very starting point of inquiry. The centre from which action proceeds, and from which suffering is felt, is what we loosely call the 'ego'. The mind, if we look carefully, is largely composed of what this centre has gathered and identified with over time: experiences, ideas, qualifications, loyalties, memories. Education adds to that collection. It sharpens and legitimises it, as the mind becomes more sophisticated. At the same time, the sense of "me" that owns all this also becomes more substantial. That strengthening is often mistaken for 'growth'. But is it really beneficial growth?

Before moving ahead, the reader should be clear that none of this means external education is unnecessary. The body must survive in a complex and changing world. A doctor must understand physiology, an engineer must understand structure, a farmer must understand soil and season. Without such knowledge, life becomes fragile very quickly. External education equips us to function, to cooperate, to build, to repair. It has real value.

But somewhere along the way, power and clarity became confused with one another. Knowledge increases capacity but it does not automatically refine intention. The same medical knowledge that heals can also be redirected toward profit without concern. The same



engineering skill that builds can be used to manipulate. The same financial intelligence that generates wealth can also deepen inequality. Knowledge amplifies the one who uses it, but what it does not necessarily do is examine that 'one'.

Consider a young engineer graduating with honours. She has been trained to optimise efficiency, solve technical problems, improve performance metrics. Now she is asked to design something that increases engagement in ways that quietly exploit user behaviour. Her education has prepared her technically, but whether it has prepared her to recognise fear, ambition, or the desire within herself to please superiors is another matter. The skill is clear, the inward terrain may not be.

From childhood onward, performance becomes closely tied to identity. Marks stand in for worth,

rankings establish hierarchies. The lesson is subtle but powerful: value yourself through comparison. The pattern continues into adulthood with admissions, placements, salaries, promotions, etc. The outer vocabulary changes; the inner movement often does not.

Most of us can see traces of this in our own lives if we pause long enough. The report cards may have disappeared years ago, yet comparison rarely vanishes with them. It simply becomes quieter and more internal. After years, perhaps decades, of education, it is worth asking something uncomfortable: are you freer than before? Has fear lessened, or has it simply become more articulate? Has the need for validation weakened, or has it found more respectable expressions? It is easier to measure achievement than to measure the loosening of

insecurity. Institutions can calculate performance with precision, but they cannot so easily calculate whether envy has softened or whether ambition has become less compulsive. Perhaps that is part of why the inward dimension remains marginal. It does not fit neatly into assessment frameworks.

External education teaches you how to act upon the world. Whether it teaches you how to see through your own conditioning is a different question, and one that rarely appears on any examination paper. Internal education begins when attention turns toward the one who studies. Not merely "What do I know?" but "Who is this 'I'?" Who feels diminished by failure? Who feels enlarged by success? Who tightens when criticised? Who expands when praised? These questions are not ornamental; they point toward the structure

that generates much of human suffering.

The ego has biological roots. Identification with the body emerges early and almost automatically: "I am this body." From there, additional identifications accumulate: my gender, my community, my profession, my beliefs. They begin as simple descriptions and gradually harden into identity. Education often strengthens these layers without examining them. When that happens, the structure of identification becomes more intricate, not less.

Pain in life is unavoidable. Ageing, illness, limitation, loss: these belong to being embodied. But the deeper psychological suffering attached to them grows from rigid identification and resistance. No amount of technical competence dissolves that. A person may be highly educated and still

be troubled by comparison, loneliness, and the fear of losing relevance.

Why is the inner dimension so rarely central within formal institutions? Possibly because self-awareness complicates systems built on predictability. A student who sees clearly the fear driving her competition may not compete in the same way. A professional who recognises the hunger beneath ambition may hesitate before sacrificing integrity for advancement. Individual clarity introduces uncertainty into structures that prefer reliable performance.

Without that inward dimension, education remains partial. We design astonishing machines and celebrate innovation, yet we seldom learn to observe the movement of our own thought with similar precision. We scrutinise our tools, but we seldom scrutinise the motives of the one using them.

Internal education does not require mysticism or the abandonment of reason; it requires honesty. A teacher may appear in the form of a person, a book, or even a difficult circumstance. Inwardly, the teacher is the willingness to continue looking when the inquiry becomes uncomfortable, especially when it begins to reveal not the faults of others but the patterns within oneself.

But we must also be aware of a risk here. Self-inquiry too can quietly become another identity. "I am someone who examines myself" can become yet another subtle badge. It is possible to speak fluently about ego and remain deeply at-

tached to being the one who understands ego. The structure may remain intact even as its language changes. This is a very real risk with those who start on the path of self-enquiry. There is no final graduation in this inward field. As long as the body lives, identification keeps reasserting itself in moments of pressure, ambition, or fear. Internal education is less a dramatic breakthrough and more an ongoing vigilance. It is quiet, it has no certificate.

The truly educated person may still analyse complex systems and command specialised knowledge. But alongside that, she can also observe her own reactions, trace fear back to identification, notice desire without immediate obedience. She can use knowledge without being quietly governed by it.

External education prepares you to function in the world. Internal education reveals how the world you experience is shaped by the patterns you carry. When the two move together, learning becomes something more than preparation for livelihood; it begins to affect the quality of living itself.

Whether that shift has taken place cannot be graded. It can only be seen, slowly and privately, in the way one responds to success, failure, praise, and loss. And perhaps that is where education changes direction: not in the classroom alone, but in the willingness to look at the one who occupies the classroom seat.

Acharya Prashant is a teacher and author whose work centres on self-inquiry and its application to contemporary life.